

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRIMINAL MINUTES

Case No. **CR 15-131(A)-JFW**

Dated: May 8, 2015

=====

PRESENT: HONORABLE JOHN F. WALTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Shannon Reilly
Courtroom Deputy

Miranda Algorri
Court Reporter

Interpreter: None

Kimberly Denise Jaimez
Vanessa Baehr-Jones
Robyn Bacon
Asst. U.S. Attorney

=====

U.S.A. vs (Dfts listed below)

Attorneys for Defendants

1) Teofil Brank
present - in custody

1) Seema Ahmad, DFPD
Ashfaq G. Chowdhury, DFPD
present - appointed

PROCEEDINGS: GOVERNMENT'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OR EVIDENCE REGARDING RAPE ALLEGATION AGAINST VICTIM D.B. [fld under seal 4/30/15, doc. 61]

DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 2 TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF TWEET OR RETWEET POST ON TWITTER [fld 4/30/15, doc. 59]

DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 2 TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF GUN AND AMMUNITION [fld 5/6/15, doc. 74] (Originally filed as Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 1 [58])

DEFENDANT TEOFIL BRANK'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY [fld 5/6/15, doc. 75]

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS COUNT SEVEN OF THE FIRST SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT [fld 5/8/15, doc. 97]

Case called, and counsel make their appearance.

Court and counsel discuss status of the case.

Court hears defendant's oral request for continuance of trial and for the reasons stated on the record, grants the request. Counsel shall submit a stipulation and proposed order as discussed at the hearing. The proposed order shall include a briefing schedule and hearing dates for the

following motions:

- Government's Motion in Limine No. 1 to Exclude Testimony Or Evidence Regarding Rape Allegation Against Victim D.B. [fld under seal 4/30/15, doc. 61]
- Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 2 to Exclude Evidence of Tweet or Retweet Post on Twitter [fld 4/30/15, doc. 59]
- Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 2 to Exclude Evidence of Gun and Ammunition [fld 5/6/15, doc. 74] (Originally filed as Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 1 [58])
- Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Count Seven of the First Superseding Indictment [Fld 5/8/15, doc. 97]

For the reasons stated on the record, Defendant Teofil Brank's Motion to Compel Discovery [Fld 5/6/15, doc. 75] **is Denied as Moot.**